



Report: Fall 2020 HST 121 Student Evals - Bouril Sections

Response Rate: 16/58 (27.59 %)

1 - My key reasons for taking this course were: (Select all that apply.)

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	
Interested in the subject	(1)	9	56.25%		
Required for major, minor, or program	(2)	4	25.00%		
Fulfilled Liberal Arts requirement/distribution (undergraduate only)	(3)	8	50.00%		
Reputation of instructor	(4)	1	6.25%		
Fit into my schedule	(5)	3	18.75%		
Advisor recommended course	(6)	1	6.25%		
Friend(s) recommended course	(7)	0	0.00%		
Other	(8)	0	0.00%		
Response Rate		16/58 (27.59%)			

2 - For this course, on average, I spent the following time, outside of synchronous or in-person class sessions, on course work:

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
0 hours per week	(1)	0	0.00%		
1-3 hours per week	(2)	8	57.14%		
4-6 hours per week	(3)	5	35.71%		
7-10 hours per week	(4)	0	0.00%		
11-15 hours per week	(5)	0	0.00%		
More than 15 hours per week	(6)	1	7.14%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
14/58 (24.14%)				2.64	1.08
					Median
					2.00

3 - I feel that I performed to my potential in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.67%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%		
Somewhat Agree	(4)	2	13.33%		
Agree	(5)	7	46.67%		
Strongly Agree	(6)	5	33.33%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
15/58 (25.86%)				4.93	1.28
					Median
					5.00

4 - The syllabus was an accurate guide to course requirements.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%		
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%		
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%		
Somewhat Agree	(4)	1	6.67%		
Agree	(5)	6	40.00%		
Strongly Agree	(6)	8	53.33%		
				0 25 50 100	Question
Response Rate				Mean	STD
15/58 (25.86%)				5.47	0.64
					Median
					6.00



Report: Fall 2020 HST 121 Student Evals - Bouril Sections

Response Rate: 16/58 (27.59 %)

5 - Student participation and the contribution of ideas, comments, and questions were encouraged.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	1	6.67%						
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Agree	(4)	0	0.00%						
Agree	(5)	4	26.67%						
Strongly Agree	(6)	10	66.67%						
				02550100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
15/58 (25.86%)				5.40		1.30		6.00	

6 - Course assessments (e.g., exams/quizzes, papers, presentations, projects, performances, etc.) allowed me to demonstrate what I learned.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means				
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%						
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%						
Somewhat Agree	(4)	1	7.14%						
Agree	(5)	6	42.86%						
Strongly Agree	(6)	7	50.00%						
				02550100	Question				
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median	
14/58 (24.14%)				5.43		0.65		5.50	

7 - I received helpful feedback from the instructor to guide my progress in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly Disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Disagree	(3)	0	0.00%					
Somewhat Agree	(4)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(5)	6	40.00%					
Strongly Agree	(6)	8	53.33%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/58 (25.86%)				5.47		0.64		6.00

8 - I think my Teaching Assistant is prepared.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%					
Agree	(4)	2	14.29%					
Strongly agree	(5)	11	78.57%					
				02550100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/58 (24.14%)				4.71		0.61		5.00



Report: Fall 2020 HST 121 Student Evals - Bouril Sections

Response Rate: 16/58 (27.59 %)

9 - I think my Teaching Assistant is organized.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	2	13.33%					
Strongly agree	(5)	12	80.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
15/58 (25.86%)				4.73	0.59	5.00		

10 - I think my Teaching Assistant is understandable in terms of level of presentation.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	3	20.00%					
Strongly agree	(5)	11	73.33%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
15/58 (25.86%)				4.67	0.62	5.00		

11 - I think my Teaching Assistant is understandable in terms of the English language.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	1	6.67%					
Strongly agree	(5)	13	86.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
15/58 (25.86%)				4.80	0.56	5.00		

12 - I think my Teaching Assistant is open to questions.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	1	6.67%					
Strongly agree	(5)	13	86.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median		
15/58 (25.86%)				4.80	0.56	5.00		



Report: Fall 2020 HST 121 Student Evals - Bouril Sections

Response Rate: 16/58 (27.59 %)

13 - I think my Teaching Assistant treats all students with respect.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	1	6.67%					
Strongly agree	(5)	13	86.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/58 (25.86%)				4.80		0.56		5.00

14 - I think my Teaching Assistant is in control of the class

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	2	13.33%					
Strongly agree	(5)	12	80.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/58 (25.86%)				4.73		0.59		5.00

15 - I think my Teaching Assistant is enthusiastic.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	1	6.67%					
Strongly agree	(5)	13	86.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/58 (25.86%)				4.80		0.56		5.00

16 - I think my Teaching Assistant answers questions effectively.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	7.14%					
Agree	(4)	1	7.14%					
Strongly agree	(5)	12	85.71%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
14/58 (24.14%)				4.79		0.58		5.00



Report: Fall 2020 HST 121 Student Evals - Bouril Sections

Response Rate: 16/58 (27.59 %)

17 - I think my Teaching Assistant cares about the subject.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	1	6.67%					
Strongly agree	(5)	13	86.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/58 (25.86%)				4.80		0.56		5.00

18 - I think my Teaching Assistant helps arouse student interest in the subject.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	4	26.67%					
Strongly agree	(5)	10	66.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/58 (25.86%)				4.60		0.63		5.00

19 - I think my Teaching Assistant provides high quality instruction.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%					
Agree	(4)	2	13.33%					
Strongly agree	(5)	12	80.00%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/58 (25.86%)				4.73		0.59		5.00

20 - I think my Teaching Assistant motivates me to perform well in this course.

Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means			
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%					
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%					
Neutral	(3)	2	13.33%					
Agree	(4)	3	20.00%					
Strongly agree	(5)	10	66.67%					
				0 25 50 100	Question			
Response Rate				Mean		STD		Median
15/58 (25.86%)				4.53		0.74		5.00



Report: Fall 2020 HST 121 Student Evals - Bouril Sections

Response Rate: 16/58 (27.59 %)

21 - I think my Teaching Assistant teaches the class in a way that promotes a better understanding of the reading.							
Response Option	Weight	Frequency	Percent	Percent Responses	Means		
Strongly disagree	(1)	0	0.00%				
Disagree	(2)	0	0.00%				
Neutral	(3)	1	6.67%				
Agree	(4)	3	20.00%				
Strongly agree	(5)	11	73.33%				
				0 25 50 100	Question		
Response Rate				Mean	STD	Median	
15/58 (25.86%)				4.67	0.62	5.00	

*Syracuse's new course evaluation system does not provide student comments with the consolidated batch report, so I have manually added the comments into this PDF.

23 - Please use this space to write any comments or suggestions you have about this course.	
Response Rate	1/21 (4.76%)
• none	

24 - Please use this space to write any comments or suggestions you have about your teaching assistant.	
Response Rate	3/21 (14.29%)
• Tom was a great TA, made the class worth it • Best TA ive had. • He was a very engaging and very helpful in teaching the course	

23 - Please use this space to write any comments or suggestions you have about this course.	
Response Rate	1/21 (4.76%)
• really great TA job in engaging us and keeping us into the subject	

24 - Please use this space to write any comments or suggestions you have about your teaching assistant.	
Response Rate	2/21 (9.52%)
• was super accommodating and understanding and there for his students • Tom was awesome. Made discussions enjoyable and extremely helpful throughout the semester. Would recommend him to anyone	